# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

&

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

### Case No OA - 283 of 2018

	Jaydeep Chakraborty -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
10	For the Applicant: Mr. G. Halder,	
06.01.2020	Advocate.	
	For the Respondents: Mr. G.P. Banerjee,	
	Advocate.	
	The instant application has been filed praying for	
	following reliefs:	
	"(a) An order do issue setting thereby aside	
	and/or quashing the impugned order of	
	suspension issued vide No. 1382-FT	
	FT/O/1E-41/2016 S.T. Dated, Howrah, the	
	16th September, 2016 being Annexure "A"	
	herein, and to allow the applicant to resume	
	his duties as usual in service in accordance	
	with law, with all consequential benefits	
	within a stipulated time period.	
	(b) A direction do issue upon the concerned	
	respondent authorities, herein to forthwith	
	rescind/cancel/withdraw/revoke the	
	impugned order of suspension issued vide	
	No. 1382-FT FT/O/1E-41/2016 S.T. Dated,	
	Howrah, the 16 <sup>th</sup> September, 2016 being	
	Annexure "A" herein, and to allow the	
	applicant to resume his duties as usual in	

_			
-	rm	NΙ	$\sim$
ГU	rm	Ν	().

**Jaydeep Chakraborty** 

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 283 of 2018</u>

..... Office action with date Order of the Tribunal with signature Serial No. and and dated signature Date of order. of parties when necessary 1 service in accordance with law within a stipulated time period.

- (c) A direction do issue directing the respondent authorities to produce and/or cause to be produced the relevant records in connection with the instant application for proper adjudication of the same.
- (d) Any other appropriate order/orders direction/directions as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper to protect the right of the applicant and in the ends of justice."

As per the applicant, while working as Commercial Tax Officer, he was placed under suspension with effect from 01.09.2016 as he was suspended under Sub Rule 7(3) of the West Bengal Services (CCA) Rules, 1971 vide impugned order dated 16.09.2016 (Annexure 'A') in connection with the ACB P.S. case No. 07/16 dated 02.09.2016 u/s 13 (1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 read with section 120B/34 of the I.P.C. on the alleged charge of taking bribe and was detained in police custody for more than 48 hours. As till date he is under suspension, being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application. The applicant has referred the judgement of

	Jaydeep Chakraborty
orm No.	••••

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 283</u>	<u>of 2018</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Hon'ble Apex Court: Union of India and Others -vs- Dipak	
	Mali reported in (2010) 2 SCC 222.	
	During the course of the hearing, the counsel for the	
	respondent has submitted that the case of the applicant was	
	reviewed along with others vide order dated 17.09.2019 and	
	the suspension order has been continued. However,	
	subsistence allowance has already been enhanced as per	
	rules. As per the counsel for the respondent, under Rule	
	7(3) West Bengal Services (CCA) Rules, 1971, the	
	respondents are empowered to keep their employee under	
	suspension without any disciplinary proceedings.	
	In this regard, the counsel for the respondent has	
	referred the judgement dated 12.12.2018 passed in O.A. No.	
	890 of 2017 by this Bench. It is noted that the applicant was	
	suspended under sub Rule 3 of Rule 7 of West Bengal	
	Services (CCA) Rules 1971 which stipulates inter alia:	
	Rule 7(3): "A Government employee who	
	is detained in custody for a period of exceeding	
	48 hours under any law providing for preventive	
	detention or as a result of a proceeding either on	
	a criminal charge or otherwise, shall be deemed to	
	have been suspended, by an order of the	

_			
-	rm	NΙ	$\sim$
ГU	rm	Ν	().

Jaydeep	Chakraborty
---------	-------------

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	appointing authority, with effect from the date of	
	his detention and shall remain under suspension	
	until further orders. A Government employee	
	who is undergoing a sentence of imprisonment	
	shall also be dealt with in the same manner,	
	pending a decision on the disciplinary action to	
	be taken against him."	
	From the perusal of the suspension order dated	
	16.09.2016 as well as Rule 7(3) of West Bengal Services	
	(CCA) Rules 1971, it transpires that the applicant was	
	suspended being arrested by Anti Corruption Branch P.S.	
	(West Bengal) on 01.09.2016 in connection with the ACB	
	P.S. case No. 7/2016 dated 02.09.2016 u/s 13(1)(d) of the	
	Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 read with Section	
	120B/34 of the I.P.C. on the alleged charge of taking bribe	
	and has been detained in police custody for more than 48	
	hours. Therefore, as per Rule 7(3) of the West Bengal	
	Services (CCA) Rules, 1971, the Government employee,	
	who was detained under custody for a period of exceeding 48	
	hours shall be deemed to have been suspended with effect	
	from his detention and shall remain suspended until further	
	orders. Therefore, the suspension order cannot be quashed	
	on the ground of non-initiation of disciplinary proceedings	
	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	

	Jaydeep Chakraborty
orm No.	

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA - 283 of 2018					
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date			
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary			
1		3			
	as his suspension could be reviewed and/ or revoked if he				
	would be exonerated from the criminal case.				
	Further, the case of <b>Dipak Mali Supra</b> is not				
	applicable as the applicant in the aforesaid case was				
	suspended under Rule 10 CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 as				
	amended by Notification dated 23.12.2003, wherein under				
	Sub Rule 6 & 7, there is a specific provision under Rules to				
	review the suspension order, before expiry of 90 days from				
	the date of suspension. However, in the instant case, the				
	applicant has been suspended under Rule 7(3), where there				
	is a specific provision for suspension under certain situation				
	until further order. Moreover, the applicant has not				
	challenged the said Rules. Therefore, until the Rules are				
	challenged by the applicant, the applicant may be put under				
	suspension until further order as per provision of the Rule.				
	Therefore, the aforesaid judgement is quite distinguishable				
	under the fact which was not applicable in the instant case.				
	In view of the above, we decline to interfere with the				
	impugned order of suspension. Accordingly, the O.A. is				
	dismissed being devoid of merit with no order as to costs.				
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)				
A.K.P.					

	Jaydeep Chakraborty
Form No.	
	Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 283 of 2018</u>

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary  3